## **ARTICLE**



# Effect of irradiation on the mating capacity and competitiveness of Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae) for the development of the sterile insect technique

Geneviève Lanouette<sup>1</sup>, Jacques Brodeur<sup>2</sup>, François Fournier<sup>3</sup>, Veronique Martel<sup>4</sup>®, and Annabelle Firlej<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Institut de recherche et de développement en agroenvironnement, Saint-Bruno-de-Montarville, Québec, J3V 0G7, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Institut de recherche en biologie végétale, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, H1X 2B2, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Collège Montmorency, Laval, Québec, H7N 5H9, Canada; and <sup>4</sup> Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, PO Box 10380, Station Sainte-Foy, Québec, Québec, G1V 4C7, Canada \*Corresponding author. Email: [annabelle.firlej@irda.qc.ca](mailto:annabelle.firlej@irda.qc.ca)

(Received 14 April 2020; accepted 15 April 2020; first published online 22 June 2020)

## Abstract

The sterile insect technique is a new approach for the integrated management of Drosophila suzukii Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae), an invasive pest in North America. We evaluated, under laboratory conditions, the mating capacities and success of male D. suzukii, irradiated at a dose of 120 Gy, with and without competition. We also explored the tendency of females to remate depending if their first mate was irradiated or not. We observed that irradiated males have the same mating capacity as control males, copulating with, respectively,  $6.4 \pm 1.9$  females versus  $6.9 \pm 2.0$  females in a 24-hour period. Irradiated males won the competition 37.5% of times, which is not significantly different from competiveness of control males. Female remating can be considered infrequent and not significantly influenced by male treatment: 7.4% of the females first mated with control males and 18.8% of the females first mated with irradiated males remated when given the opportunity two days and four days after the first mating. Latency before mating and mating duration were not significantly influenced by male treatment, but by presence of male competition. Overall, irradiated males thus seem to be as performant as control males, which is an important condition for a successful sterile insect technique programme.

## Introduction

The spotted-wing drosophila, Drosophila suzukii Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae), has rapidly become a major pest of berries and stone fruits since it invaded Europe and America in 2008 (Walsh et al. [2011](#page-11-0)). Unlike other fruit flies (*Drosophila Fallén*), females have the ability to lay eggs in healthy, ripening fruits, causing both increasing production costs and revenue losses: for example, \$US 39.8 million to California, United States of America raspberry (Rubus Linnaeus; Rosaceae) production between 2009 and 2014 (Farnsworth *et al.* [2016\)](#page-9-0). The industry relies mainly on chemical management of D. suzukii populations, thereby increasing probabilities of insecticide pest resistance (Gress and Zalom [2018](#page-9-0)), mortality of beneficial insects, public health issues, and damages to the environment (National Research Council [2000](#page-10-0)). Potential alternatives to insecticides mainly include biological control (Chabert et al. [2012](#page-8-0); Cuthbertson et al. [2014](#page-9-0); Cuthbertson

Subject editor: Maya Evenden

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Entomological Society of Canada. Parts of this are a work of Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada

and Audsley [2016](#page-9-0); Daane et al. [2016;](#page-9-0) Guerrieri et al. 2016; Knoll et al. [2017](#page-10-0); Stacconi et al. [2019\)](#page-11-0), physical control with exclusion nets (Cormier et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0), and the sterile insect technique (Lanouette et al. [2017](#page-10-0); Nikolouli et al. [2018](#page-10-0); Krüger et al. [2019](#page-10-0)).

The sterile insect technique consists of mass-rearing the pest species, exposing individuals to radiation to induce sterility, and releasing overwhelming numbers of sterile insects in the environment. The released sterile males are then expected to seek and mate with wild females, preventing them to produce viable progeny, while the released sterile females will not produce any progeny (Klassen [2005\)](#page-10-0). The releases of sterile males over a number of generations allow the reduction of the pest population under an acceptable threshold. Several pest species, including Tephritidae (Diptera) fruit flies, have been successfully controlled with sterile insect technique worldwide (Klassen [2005](#page-10-0)).

The success of a sterile insect technique depends greatly on the capacity of producing sterile males with suitable overall reproductive performance, from mate finding and copulation (Pérez-Staples et al. [2013\)](#page-11-0) to competitiveness (Lance and McInnis [2005\)](#page-10-0). While other factors (e.g., continuous laboratory rearing, high population density, artificial diets, handling conditions) can also have a negative impact on male quality in sterile insect technique programmes, the irradiation process itself can directly induce decrease in reproductive success, including a competitiveness loss: both reproductive cells and somatic cells are irradiated and can undergo mutations (Robinson [2002\)](#page-11-0). This is why irradiation is generally performed at late-pupal or adult stage, when reproductive cells are the main cells multiplying and subjected to malformations (Lance and McInnis [2005](#page-10-0)).

A research initiative has recently been launched to examine the feasibility of using sterile insect technique to control *D. suzukii* populations in berry fruit production. As a first step, Lanouette et al. ([2017\)](#page-10-0) reported that a suitable level of sterilisation of four-day-old pupae can be achieved using gamma irradiation at a dose of 120 Gy, without inducing any morphological deformations in males. The next step is thus to evaluate the mating capacity of irradiated males under situations where they have to compete or not with nonirradiated control males. When release rates of irradiated males are high, the ratio between sterile and wild males would be high, leading to noncompetitive situations. However, when wild males remain abundant in the field, competition for mates is likely to occur, and for sterile insect technique to be successful, released sterile males should display similar courtship behaviour and overall competitiveness as wild males.

Sexual behaviour varies greatly among Drosophila species (Markow and O'Grady [2008](#page-10-0)). In D. suzukii, Revadi et al. ([2015](#page-11-0)) showed under laboratory conditions that although mating can occur any time during the day, the highest copulation rate was observed in the morning, within the first 30 minutes following the onset of the photoperiod. Drosophila suzukii females do not release a sex pheromone as observed in many species from the *D. melanogaster* Meigen species group (Dekker et al. [2015\)](#page-9-0), but they produce a cuticular hydrocarbon used by males for mate recognition (Revadi et al. [2015](#page-11-0)). Male Drosophila suzukii courtship behaviour includes substrate-borne vibrations produced by abdominal quivering while males remain motionless or as "toots" while pursuing females (Mazzoni et al. [2013](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, D. suzukii males have black spots on their wings that can be visually displayed by wing extension during courtship (Walsh et al. [2011\)](#page-11-0) and wing vibration is also a part of courtship (Fuyama [1979;](#page-9-0) Mazzoni et al. [2013;](#page-10-0) Revadi et al. [2015\)](#page-11-0).

Another aspect to consider in a sterile insect technique programme is the remating propensity of females: if females first mated by irradiated males are inclined to remate, wild males might transfer fertile sperm to already mated wild females (Barclay [2005\)](#page-8-0). For example, the receptivity of Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae) females is higher when mated by an irradiated, sterile male than by a wild male (Kraaijeveld and Chapman [2004](#page-10-0)). For D. suzukii, polyandry was observed by Revadi et al. ([2015\)](#page-11-0) but not investigated further. According to Markow ([2002](#page-10-0)), *Drosophila* species displaying a sexual dimorphism, such as *D. suzukii* where males have black spots on their wings, tend to be monoandrous. Drosophila males may invest

in external morphological characters when sexual selection occurs before mating, or in ejaculate features, such as sperm gigantism, when sexual selection occurs after mating (overlapping ejac-ulates, remating polyandry) (Markow [2002](#page-10-0)). All *Drosophila* species from the *D. melanogaster* species group – the group containing D. suzukii (Hauser [2011](#page-9-0)) – share the evolutionary feature of infrequent female remating.

The objectives of this study were to (i) determine the mating capacity of irradiated males, (ii) compare mating success of irradiated males versus control males under competition, and (iii) explore female receptivity following a first mating with irradiated or control males in D. suzukii at a dose of 120 Gy, that is, the dose previously identified sufficient to induce suitable sterilisation without morphological deformations (Lanouette et al. [2017](#page-10-0)).

# Material and methods

All experiments took place at the Institut de Recherche et de Développement en Agroenvironnement (St-Bruno-de-Montarville, Québec, Canada) using an Italian strain of D. suzukii collected from wine grapes in 2012 in Trentino region and reared at Institut de Recherche et de Développement en Agroenvironnement since February 2016. Rearing procedures and methods of egg laying and extraction of pupae from the artificial diets are described in Lanouette et al. [\(2017\)](#page-10-0). Tests were performed under controlled conditions at  $23 \pm 1$  °C,  $50 \pm 10\%$  relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 16:8 light:dark hours. Voucher specimens have been deposited at the Ouellet-Robert entomological collection (Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada).

## Irradiation

Four-day-old D. suzukii pupae (12–24 hours before emergence) were irradiated at the Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (Montréal, Québec, Canada) in a Gammacell 3000 (Best Theratronics, Kanata, Ontario, Canada) with <sup>137</sup>Caesium source. Pupae for irradiation and control pupae were brought in a thermal bag to the irradiation centre and were thus exposed to the same temperature and humidity conditions. Pupae from a given rearing cohort were assigned randomly to each treatment.

Pupae were isolated after irradiation and individuals sexed on the day of emergence. They were separated in one 5-L box (116.0 cm  $\times$  147.6 cm  $\times$  147.6 cm) according to their sex, treatment, and day of emergence. Adults were provided with sucrose, yeast (3:1), a piece of banana diet (Chabert et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0), and water. For all experiments, three-day-old unmated adult males and five-day-old unmated adult females were used to ensure sexual maturity and high levels of mating (G.L., unpublished data).

## Mating capacity

We first compared the mating capacity of irradiated *D. suzukii* males and control males in the absence of competition. Each male was placed in a Petri dish ( $\sigma = 100$  mm) with 10 females (not irradiated) and a small piece of banana (Musa Linnaeus; Musaceae) diet. After 24 hours, the male was removed from the Petri dish and females were kept alive at  $8 \pm 2$  °C for zero to three days until dissection to detect stored sperm and assess the number of females inseminated by each male. The spermatheca and seminal receptacle were taken out of the female abdomen by pulling on the ovipositor. The organs were put on a slide with a drop of 1% saline solution, covered with a coverslip. As the brown colour of the spermatheca prevents from observing the presence of sperm, a light pressure was applied to break the spermatheca and release the spermatozoa. Immediate observation of all structures was performed using a microscope at 400 magnification to score the presence/absence and location of spermatozoa in three different storage organs: spermatheca, spermathecal tubes, and seminal receptacle.

This experiment was conducted using five irradiated males and five control males per irradiation cohort and repeated four times (total of 20 males per treatment). The number of mated females per male and the presence/absence of spermatozoa in each storage organ per mated female were compared using generalised linear mixed-effects model with binomial distribution. Male treatment was used as a factor and cohort as random factor. All data analyses were performed using R 3.3.2 (R Development Core Team [2016](#page-11-0)).

# Competitiveness

The competitiveness of irradiated versus control males was assessed by scoring mating success in Petri dish ( $\sigma = 47$  mm) containing three virgin *D. suzukii*: one irradiated male, one control male, and one control female. The behaviour of *D. suzukii* was recorded using a camera (Dino-Lite, London, Ontario, Canada, Digital microscope Model AM4012NZT with  $720 \times 576$ pixels resolution and 25 pictures/second) placed above the experimental arena. Filming started when *D. suzukii* were introduced in the Petri dish and ended following the first mating, for a maximum of three hours in case of no mating. Males were introduced first in the arena, alternating the sequence between irradiated and control individuals; the female was always introduced last. Because *D. suzukii* under laboratory conditions mate mostly in the first half-hour after start of photophase (Revadi et al. [2015](#page-11-0)), tested D. suzukii were introduced in the arena under darkness conditions, at the end of the scotophase, using a red light. Low level of activity was observed in darkness and, in a few cases, mating occurred; *D. suzukii* were then discarded. A small piece of banana diet was also put inside the arena prior to the *D. suzukii* to provide a substrate for vibra-tions during courtship (Mazzoni et al. [2013\)](#page-10-0). A total of 60 arenas were set up with 48 mating events being recorded.

Videos were watched with video player Kinovea (open source) using the slide-by-slide tool when necessary. Sequences were analysed with CowLog (Hänninen and Pastell [2009](#page-9-0)) to quantify the frequency (and duration when relevant) of the following behaviours: resting (including grooming), walking and courtship, number of rejections by the female (decamping, kicking, spinning, or abdominal depression following a mating attempt, Revadi et al. [2015](#page-11-0)), latency period (i.e., time between light on and beginning of mating), mating duration, and identity of the successful male.

Percentage of time allocated to different behaviours was calculated for both types of males and compared with a nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test. Number of female rejections for each type of males was compared using a generalised linear mixed model with Poisson distribution according to male treatment. Proportion of females mating with irradiated versus control males was compared using exact test of goodness of fit. All data analyses were performed using R 3.3.2 (R Development Core Team [2016](#page-11-0)).

# Female remating

We examined the propensity of *D. suzukii* females to remate following a first mating with either an irradiated or control male. Twenty irradiated males and 20 control males were put individually in Petri dishes ( $\varphi = 47$  mm) with a small piece of banana diet. As a first step, each of them was provided with one virgin female until mating, for a maximum of two hours. Drosophila suzukii were introduced in the experimental arena following the method described above. The observer noted the beginning and ending of mating, if it occurred, and calculated the latency period and mating duration. After two hours, males and virgin females were discarded. Mated females were kept individually in a small cup ( $\sigma = 30$  mm) with banana diet to allow oviposition. As a second step, two days after the first mating, each female was provided with a new male, once again for two hours at light on. The same observations were done. Females that did not remate during this second encounter were provided with a third male from

the same treatment as the second male four days after the first mating under the same conditions. The following four treatments were tested:

- 1. Mated first with an irradiated male, then access to an irradiated male ( $n = 20$ )
- 2. Mated first with an irradiated male, then access to a control male  $(n = 20)$
- 3. Mated first with a control male, then access to a control male  $(n = 20)$
- 4. Mated first with a control male, then access to an irradiated male ( $n = 20$ )

Damaged flies and those that were not active during the test were not included in the analysis (total of six flies eliminated).

Mating success of irradiated and control males with virgin females was compared using a binomial generalised linear model with the following factors: treatment of the first mate (irradiated or control), treatment of the second male, first mating duration, and time since the first mating (two or four days).

Latency and mating duration were compared between male treatments (irradiated or control) and between mating conditions (competitive versus noncompetitive – in this case using results from the competitiveness experience) using an analysis of variance. Latency indicates the efficacy of the males to find, courtship, and be accepted by the females, knowing that in a sterile insect technique context, males will have to find the females over a much larger arena. Mating duration is positively correlated to the ejaculate investment of the males and to a higher probability of its sperm being used in a polyandry context (Bretman et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). All data analyses were performed using R 3.3.2 (R Development Core Team [2016\)](#page-11-0).

# Results

## Mating capacity

There was no significant difference in the mating capacity of irradiated and control males  $(F = 0.53, df = 1, P = 0.469)$  during the 24-hour period; they respectively mated a mean of  $6.9 \pm 2.0$  and  $6.4 \pm 1.9$  females.

Male irradiation had no effect on sperm storage in female reproductive organs. Sperm occurrence was observed in similar proportions in the spermatheca ( $F = 0.85$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.393$ ), spermathecal tubes ( $F = 1.56$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.262$ ), and seminal receptacle ( $F = 0.16$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.687$ ) following mating with irradiated or control males (Fig. [1\)](#page-5-0).

## Competitiveness

Irradiated D. suzukii males were as successful as control males with a mean of 37.5% of females mated compared to 62.5% for control males (95% confidence interval  $= 0.240-0.527$ ,  $P = 0.111$ ).

Irradiation had no effect on the amount of time males spent resting, walking without interacting with females, or courting the female (Kruskal–Wallis:  $X^2 = 0.475$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.491$ ;  $X^2 = 0.409$ , df = 1, P = 0.5223;  $X^2 = 2.895$ , df = 1, P = 0.089; respectively) (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). Mean number of rejections made by females before accepting a mate did not vary between irradiated  $(1.4 \pm 2.7)$ and control  $(1.4 \pm 2.2)$  males (generalised linear model:  $Z = 0.475$ ; df = 1, P = 0.635).

## Female remating

During the first mating period (two hours), irradiated males (59.8%) were more successful in mating with virgin females than control males (40.8%) ( $Z = 0.789$ , df = 1, P = 0.017). Remating occurred uncommonly after two (8.1%) and four (7.7%) days, either for females previously mated with irradiated (18.8%) or control (7.4%) males. None of the tested variables had a significant impact on remating: treatment of the first mate  $(Z = 1.212, df = 1, P = 0.223)$ , treatment of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Effect of gamma irradiation (dose of 120 Gy) at the pupal stage of males Drosophila suzukii on sperm storage in the reproductive organs of females (mean  $\pm$ SD). No statistical significance was observed with a generalised linear model ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Fig. 2. Effect of gamma irradiation (dose of 120 Gy) at the pupal stage on males Drosophila suzukii time budget (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) when irradiated males were in competition with nonirradiated males. No statistical significance was observed with Kruskal–Wallis tests ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Two days

Interval between males

А  $25$ 

 $20$ 

Remating 15<br>to 15<br>10

5

 $\mathbf{0}$ 



Fig. 3. Effect of gamma irradiation (dose of 120 Gy) at the pupal stage on Drosophila suzukii female remating propensity (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation). A, If her first mate has been irradiated; B, if the second male presented to her has been

5

n

Two days

Four days

Interval between males

irradiated. No statistical significance was observed with a generalised linear model ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Four days



Fig. 4. Effect of gamma irradiation (dose of 120 Gy) at the pupal stage of Drosophila suzukii males on mating. A, Latency; **B**, duration (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation). Asterisks indicate significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) between competitive and noncompetitive conditions using analysis of variance.

the second mate ( $Z = -0.666$ , df = 1, P = 0.505), mean duration of the first mating ( $Z = 0.969$ , df = 1[3](#page-5-0)9, P = 0.333), or mean interval between mating (Z = -0.066, df = 1, P = 0.947) (Fig. 3).

Mean latency before mating was shorter when males were under competitive conditions compared to when alone (analysis of variance:  $F = 27.042$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ), but latency was not affected by irradiation ( $F = 0.208$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.448$ ) (Fig. 4). Similarly, mean mating duration was shortened when males were in competition (analysis of variance,  $F = 7.306$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.008$ ), but it was not affected by male irradiation ( $F = 0.379$ , df = 1,  $P = 0.539$ ) (Fig. 4).

# Discussion

The objective of the study was to compare mating capacities of *D. suzukii* males irradiated at 120 Gy and control males under noncompetitive and competitive conditions and to measure the female propensity to remate depending on the male status of her first mate. We observed no difference in the number of mates within a 24-hour period between irradiated and control males in noncompetitive conditions.

When males were competing for a female, the performance of irradiated males did not significantly differ from control males. This result is interesting in the context of a sterile insect technique programme, as reduction of mating capacity should be avoided in irradiated males. Similar results have been observed in other species. In Anastrepha fraterculus Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae), Allinghi et al. ([2007\)](#page-8-0) found no difference in the competitiveness of males irradiated at three different doses (40, 70, and 100 Gy) and control males in field cages. In contrast, for Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae), although a low dose of irradiation (35 Gy) did not affect the competitiveness of males, higher doses (70 and 140 Gy) reduced its ability to mate with a virgin female under noncompetitive conditions (Lux et al. [2002\)](#page-10-0). For Anastrepha obliqua Macquart (Diptera: Tephritidae), the competitiveness of irradiated males, estimated as the proportion of sterile eggs laid by females, decreased with the augmentation of the irradiation dose  $(40, 60,$  and  $80 \text{ Gy})$  (Toledo *et al.*  $2004$ ).

Although competitiveness was not reduced in irradiated D. suzukii males at 120 Gy, male performance needs to be monitored further during the implementation of a sterile insect technique programme. We only tested one age in D. suzukii, but competitiveness of males in D. melanogaster has been showed to improve with age from two to eight-day-old (Long et al. [1980](#page-10-0)). Considering that irradiated *D. suzukii* in laboratory can live until 36 days (Lanouette *et al.* [2017\)](#page-10-0) and in average 18 days, this suggests that competitiveness of irradiated could be better with older males. But if occurring, lower competitiveness of irradiated males could (i) be compensated for by using high ratios of irradiated to wild males in sterile insect technique releases and multiple release, (ii) be

avoided by reducing the irradiation dose (Parker and Mehta [2007](#page-11-0)), or (iii) be compensated by increasing irradiated male size when mass-produced. Size has also been associated with higher irradiated males competitiveness in Anastrepha obliqua (Artiaga-López et al. [2004](#page-8-0)) and with greater lifetime mating success in the congeneric *D. melanogaster* (Partridge and Farquhar [1983\)](#page-11-0).

We observed infrequent female remating two and four days after the first mating. The occurrence of remating was not influenced by the treatment (irradiated or control) of the first and the second partner, or by the duration of the first mating. Krüger *et al.* [\(2019\)](#page-10-0) also observed low remating frequency in presence of irradiated D. suzukii males (at 200 Gy) as well as control males. Knowledge on D. melanogaster reproduction could be partially transposed to D. suzukii to discuss elements of our observations (Bloch Qazi et al. [2003](#page-8-0)). In D. melanogaster, sperm transfer decreases female receptivity from 6–10 hours postmating and females can be unreceptive for up to 1.5 weeks (Manning [1962](#page-10-0); Gromko et al. [1984\)](#page-9-0). Receptivity of D. melanogaster female is restored after mul-tiple use of stored sperm when egg laying (Manning [1962](#page-10-0); Gromko et al. [1984](#page-9-0); Newport and Gromko [1984](#page-10-0)). Our observations suggest that *D. suzukii* females have a similar reproductive physiology as *D. melanogaster*; remating being not influenced by whether the female was first inseminated by an irradiated or a control male. Sperm of *D. suzukii* male was stored both in seminal receptacle and spermatheca like in *D. melanogaster* (Lefebvre and Jonsson [1962](#page-10-0)). Irradiation can decrease the sperm ejaculate size as observed in Bactrocera tryoni (Froggatt) (Diptera: Tephritidae) and Anopheles arabiensis Patton (Diptera: Culicidae) (Harmer et al. [2006](#page-9-0); Helinski and Knols [2009](#page-9-0)), but the absence of differential sperm storage in *D. suzukii* following mating with irradiated versus control males suggests that size of sperm ejaculate of irradiated male is enough to fill the storage organs of females.

Knowledge of the reproductive ecology of D. suzukii, more specifically the factors influencing remating and male sperm prevalence, would bring valuable knowledge for sterile insect technique development and success. Even if the cost of multiple mating has probably driven the behaviour of Drosophila species toward infrequent remating (Fowler and Partridge [1989;](#page-9-0) Chapman et al. [1995\)](#page-8-0), it remains possible that *Drosophila* females mate with more than one male in natural environment (Ochando et al. [1996;](#page-11-0) Imhof et al. [1998\)](#page-10-0). In D. melanogaster, 80% of the progeny can originate from the last mating (last male sperm precedence; Lefebvre and Jonsson [1962](#page-10-0); Gromko et al. [1984\)](#page-9-0), but the female can also choose among sperm of different males for egg fertilisation (Price [1997\)](#page-11-0). For D. suzukii, in situations of male competition between irradiated and control males, it is important to evaluate how egg laying influences the duration of the female refractory period as well as sperm precedence.

Mating with an irradiated male did not affect the mating duration and female mating latency in D. suzukii. This suggests that irradiated males have a normal mating behaviour and are suitable candidates in a sterile insect technique programme. Similar findings have been observed by Krüger et al. [\(2019\)](#page-10-0) with an irradiation dose of 200 Gy. However, irradiation has been shown to influence the latency and the duration of mating of many fly species: latency was longer for irradiated C. capitata, shorter for irradiated B. tryoni, and similar for irradiated A. fraterculus, while mating duration was similar for irradiated C. capitata and B. tryoni and shorter for irradiated A. fraterculus (Lux et al. [2002](#page-10-0); Allinghi et al. [2007;](#page-8-0) Radhakrishnan et al. [2009\)](#page-11-0). Interestingly, we observed that mating occurring under competitive conditions happened more rapidly and was shorter for both control and irradiated males. Having two males in the experimental arena likely increases the probability of encounter with the female, and interference by the second male during mating results in shorter mating duration. It was previously observed that *D. melanogaster* naïve males use olfactory and acoustic signals to detect the presence of competitors (Bretman et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). Reducing mating duration is not adaptive considering the last male sperm precedence rule in Drosophila species, but it can benefit naïve males that escape competitor harassment or females when better potential males are detected in proximity (Garbaczewska et al. [2013](#page-9-0)).

Overall, we observed that an irradiation dose of 120 Gy applied to four-day old pupae does not affect male mating performance under both noncompetitive and competitive conditions.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Irradiated males have the capacity to mate with a similar number of females as control males in absence of competition and have similar mating latency and mating duration as control males. Furthermore, the experiments took place in constrained artificial arena (Petri dishes) where interactions between males and females were "forced". The copulation rates obtained this way can be higher than what would be observed in the field.

This study of irradiation effects on *D. suzukii* behaviour represents an important step in the development of a sterile insect technique programme against this pest. Other aspects still need to be studied to allow a better evaluation of the optimal irradiation dose. We suggest the investigation be continued by obtaining the Fried competitiveness index (Fried [1971](#page-9-0)) of males irradiated at higher doses to obtain better sterility considering the risk imposed by *D. suzukii*. This index represents the proportion of nonfecund eggs laid in a population where females, wild males, and irradiated males cohabit. It can be obtained from semi-field cage experiments, but should also be continuously measured from wild flies during a sterile insect technique programme to assess its performance (Vreysen [2005](#page-11-0)).

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Marianne Gousy-Leblanc and Maxence Jacquot-Atuyer for technical assistance, to Guy Boivin (Centre de recherche et de développement en horticulture) for advices and to Michelle Grenier (Institut de Recherche et de Développement en Agroenvironnement) for statistical expertise. This research was supported by the Programme Innov'action agroalimentaire from the agreement Cultivons l'avenir 2 concluded between the Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec and Agriculture and Agri-food Canada.

## References

- Allinghi, A., Calcagno, G., Petit-Marty, N., Gómez Cendra, P., Segura, D., Vera, T., et al. 2007. Compatibility and competitiveness of a laboratory strain of Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera: Tephritidae) after irradiation treatment. Florida Entomologist, 90: 27–32. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2007)90[27:CACOAL]2.0.CO;2) 10.1653/0015–[4040\(2007\)90\[27:CACOAL\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2007)90[27:CACOAL]2.0.CO;2).
- Artiaga-L´opez, T., Hernández, E., Domínguez-Gordillo, J., Moreno, D., and Orozco-Dávila, D. 2004. Mass-production of Anastrepha obliqua at the Moscafrut fruit fly facility, Mexico. In Proceedings of the 6th International Fruit Fly Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, Stellenbosch, South Africa, 6–10 May 2002. Edited by B.N. Barnes. Isteg Scientific Publications, Irene, South Africa. Pp. 389–392.
- Barclay, H.J. 2005. Mathematical models for the use of sterile insects. In Sterile insect technique. Edited by V.A. Dyck, J. Hendrichs, and A.S. Robinson. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Pp. 147–174.
- Bloch Qazi, M.C., Heifetz, Y., and Wolfner, M.F. 2003. The developments between gametogenesis and fertilization: ovulation and female sperm storage in Drosophila melanogaster. Developmental Biology, 256: 195–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606\(02\)00125-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-1606(02)00125-2).
- Bretman, A., Fricke, C., and Chapman, T. 2009. Plastic responses of male Drosophila melanogaster to the level of sperm competition increase male reproductive fitness. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 276: 1705–1711. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1878) [rspb.2008.1878](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1878).
- Chabert, S., Allemand, R., Poyet, M., Eslin, P., and Gibert, P. 2012. Ability of European parasitoids (Hymenoptera) to control a new invasive Asiatic pest, Drosophila suzukii. Biological Control, 63: 40–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2012.05.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2012.05.005)
- Chapman, T., Liddle, L.F., Kalb, J.M., Wolfner, M.F., and Partridge, L. 1995. Cost of mating in Drosophila melanogaster females is mediated by male accessory gland products. Nature, 373: 241–244. [https://doi.org/10.1038/373241a0.](https://doi.org/10.1038/373241a0)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Cormier, D., Veilleux, J., and Firlej, A. 2015. Exclusion net to control spotted wing drosophila in blueberry fields. International Organization for Biological and Integrated Control-West Palaearctic Regional Section Bulletin, 109: 181–184.
- Cuthbertson, A.G. and Audsley, N. 2016. Further screening of entomophathogenic fungi and nematodes as control agents for Drosophila suzukii. Insects, 7: 24. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects7020024) [insects7020024.](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects7020024)
- Cuthbertson, A.G., Blackburn, L.F., and Audsley, N. 2014. Efficacy of commercially available invertebrate predators against Drosophila suzukii. Insects, 5: 952–960. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects5040952) [10.3390/insects5040952.](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects5040952)
- Daane, K.M., Wang, X.G., Biondi, A., Miller, B., Miller, J.C., Riedl, H., et al. 2016. First exploration of parasitoids of Drosophila suzukii in South Korea as potential classical biological agents. Journal of Pest Science, 3: 823–835. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0740-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0740-0)
- Dekker, T., Revadi, S., Mansourian, S., Ramasamy, S., Lebreton, S., Becher, P.G., et al. 2015. Loss of Drosophila pheromone reverses its role in sexual communication in Drosophila suzukii. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 282: 20143018. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.3018) [doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.3018](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.3018).
- Farnsworth, D., Hamby, K., Bolda, M., Goodhue, R., Williams, J., and Zalom, F. 2016. Economic analysis of revenue losses and control costs associated with the spotted wing drosophila (Drosophila suzukii (Matsumura)) in the California raspberry industry. Pest Management Science, 73: 1083–1090. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4497.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4497)
- Fowler, K. and Partridge, L. 1989. A cost of mating in female fruitflies. Nature, 338: 760–761. [https://doi.org/10.1038/338760a0.](https://doi.org/10.1038/338760a0)
- Fried, M. 1971. Determination of sterile-insect competitiveness. Journal of Economic Entomology, 64: 869–872. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/64.4.869.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/64.4.869)
- Fuyama, Y. 1979. A visual stimulus in the courtship of Drosophila suzukii. Experientia, 35: 1327– 1328. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01963987.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01963987)
- Garbaczewska, M., Billeter, J.C., and Devine, J.D. 2013. Drosophila melanogaster males increase the number of sperm in their ejaculate when perceiving rival males. Journal of Insect Physiology, 59: 306–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.08.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.08.016)
- Gress, B.E. and Zalom, F.G. 2018. Identification and risk assessment of spinosad resistance in a California population of Drosophila suzukii. Pest Management Science, 75: 1270–1276. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5240) [doi.org/10.1002/ps.5240](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5240).
- Gromko, M.H., Newport, M.E.A., and Kortier, M.G. 1984. Sperm dependence of female receptivity to remating in Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution, 38: 1273–1282.
- Guerrieri, E., Giorgini, M., Cascone, P., Carpenito, S., and Van Achterberg, C. 2016. Species diversity in the parasitoid genus Asobara (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from the native area of the fruit fly pest Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Public Library of Science One, 11: e0147382. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147382.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147382)
- Hänninen, L.P. and Pastell, M. 2009. CowLog: open source software for coding behaviors from digital video. Behavior Research Methods, 41: 472–476. [https://doi.org/10.3758/](https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.2.472) [BRM.41.2.472.](https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.2.472)
- Harmer, A.M.T., Radhakrishnan, P., and Taylor, P. 2006. Remating inhibition in female Queensland fruit flies: effects and correlates of sperm storage. Journal of Insect Physiology, 52: 179–786. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.10.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.10.003)
- Hauser, M. 2011. A historic account of the invasion of Drosophila suzukii (Matsumura) (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the continental United States, with remarks on their identification. Pest Management Science, 67: 1352–1357. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2265.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2265)
- Helinski, M.E.H. and Knols, B.G.J. 2009. Sperm quantity and size variation in un-irradiated and irradiated males of the Malaria mosquito Anopheles arabiensis Patton. Acta Tropica, 109: 64–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2008.10.002>.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Imhof, M., Harr, B., Brem, G., and Schlötterer, C. 1998. Multiple mating in wild *Drosophila* melanogaster revisited by microsatellite analysis. Molecular Ecology, 7: 915-917. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00382.x) [doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00382.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00382.x).
- Klassen, W. 2005. Area-wide integrated pest management and the sterile insect technique. In Sterile insect technique. Edited by V.A. Dyck, J. Hendrichs, and A.S. Robinson. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Pp. 39–68.
- Knoll, V., Ellenbroek, T., Romeis, J., and Collatz, J. 2017. Seasonal and regional presence of hymenopteran parasitoids of Drosophila in Switzerland and their ability to parasitize the invasive Drosophila suzukii. Scientific Reports, 7: 40697. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40697>.
- Kraaijeveld, K. and Chapman, T. 2004. Effects of male sterility on female remating in the Mediterranean fruitfly, Ceratitis capitata. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 271: S209–S211. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2003.0116>.
- Krüger, A.P., Schlesener, D.C.H., Martins, L.N., Wollmann, J., Deprá, M., and Garcia, F.R.M. 2019. Radiation effects on Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosphilidae) reproductive behaviour. Journal of Applied Entomology, 143: 88–94. [https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12563.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12563)
- Lance, D. and McInnis, D. 2005. Biological basis of the sterile insect technique. In Sterile insect technique. Edited by V.A. Dyck, J. Hendrichs, and A.S. Robinson. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Pp. 69–94.
- Lanouette, G., Brodeur, J., Fournier, F., Martel, V., Vreysen, M., Cáceres, C., and Firlej, A. 2017. The sterile insect technique for the management of the spotted wing drosophila, Drosophila suzukii: establishing the optimum irradiation dose. Public Library of Science One, 12: e0180821. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180821>.
- Lefebvre, G. and Jonsson, U.B. 1962. Sperm transfer, storage, displacement, and utilization in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, 47: 1719–1736.
- Long, C.E., Markow, T.A., and Yaeger, P. 1980. Relative male age, fertility, and competitive mating success in Drosophila melanogaster. Behavior Genetics, 10: 163–170. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01066266) [BF01066266](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01066266).
- Lux, S., Vilardi, J., Liedo, P., Gaggl, K., Calcagno, G., Munyiri, F., et al. 2002. Effects of irradiation on the courtship behavior of medfly (Diptera, Tephritidae) mass reared for the sterile insect technique. Florida Entomologist, 85: 102–112. [https://doi.org/10.1653/0015](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2002)085[0102:EOIOTC]2.0.CO;2)–4040(2002) [085\[0102:EOIOTC\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2002)085[0102:EOIOTC]2.0.CO;2).
- Manning, A. 1962. A sperm factor affecting the receptivity of Drosophila melanogaster females. Nature, 194: 252–253. [https://doi.org/10.1038/194252a0.](https://doi.org/10.1038/194252a0)
- Markow, T.A. 2002. Perspective: female remating, operational sex ratio, and the arena of sexual selection in Drosophila species. Evolution, 56: 1725-1734. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00186.x) [j.0014-3820.2002.tb00186.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00186.x).
- Markow, T.A. and O'Grady, P. 2008. Reproductive ecology of Drosophila. Functional Ecology, 22: 747–759. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01457.x>.
- Mazzoni, V., Anfora, G., and Virant-Doberlet, M. 2013. Substrate vibrations during courtship in three Drosophila species. Public Library of Science One, 8: e80708. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080708) [journal.pone.0080708](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080708).
- National Research Council. 2000. The future role of pesticides in US agriculture. The National Academy Press, Washington, District of Columbia, United States of America. [https://](https://doi.org/10.17226/9598) [doi.org/10.17226/9598](https://doi.org/10.17226/9598).
- Newport, M.E.A. and Gromko, M.H. 1984. The effect of experimental design on female receptivity to remating and its impact on reproductive success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Evolution, 38: 1261–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05648.x>.
- Nikolouli, K., Colinet, H., Renault, D., Enriquez, T., Mouton, L., and Gibert, P., et al. 2018. Sterile insect technique and Wolbachia symbiosis as potential tools for the control of the invasive species Drosophila suzukii. Journal of Pest Science, 91: 489–503. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0944-y) [s10340-017-0944-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0944-y)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Ochando, M.D., Reyes, A., and Ayala, F.J., 1996. Multiple paternity in two natural populations (orchard and vineyard) of Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 93: 11769–11773. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.21.11769.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.21.11769)
- Parker, A. and Mehta, K. 2007. Sterile insect technique: a model for dose optimization for improved sterile insect quality. Florida Entomologist, 90: 88–95. [https://doi.org/10.1653/](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2007)90[88:SITAMF]2.0.CO;2) 0015–[4040\(2007\)90\[88:SITAMF\]2.0.CO;2.](https://doi.org/10.1653/00154040(2007)90[88:SITAMF]2.0.CO;2)
- Partridge, L. and Farquhar, M. 1983. Lifetime mating success of male fruitflies (Drosophila melanogaster) is related to their size. Animal Behaviour, 31: 871-877. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(83)80242-5) [10.1016/S0003-3472\(83\)80242-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(83)80242-5)
- Pérez-Staples, D., Shelly, T.E., and Yuval, B. 2013. Female mating failure and the failure of 'mating' in sterile insect programs. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 146: 66–78. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2012.01312.x) [10.1111/j.1570-7458.2012.01312.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2012.01312.x)
- Price, C.S.C. 1997. Conspecific sperm precedence in Drosophila. Nature, 388: 663–666. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/41753) [doi.org/10.1038/41753.](https://doi.org/10.1038/41753)
- R Development Core Team. 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Radhakrishnan, P., Pérez-Staples, D., Weldon, C.W., and Taylor, P.W. 2009. Multiple mating and sperm depletion in male Queensland fruit flies: effects on female remating behaviour. Animal Behaviour, 78: 839–846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.07.002>.
- Revadi, S., Lebreton, S., Witzgall, P., Anfora, G., Dekker, T., and Becher, P.G. 2015. Sexual Behavior of Drosophila suzukii. Insects, 6: 183–196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6010183>.
- Robinson, A.S. 2002. Mutations and their use in insect control. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research, 511: 113–132. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742\(02\)00006-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742(02)00006-6).
- Stacconi, M.V.R., Grassi, A., Ioriatti, C., and Anfora, G. 2019. Augmentative releases of Trichopria drosophilae for the suppression of early season Drosophila suzukii populations. BioControl, 64: 9–19. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-018-09914-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-018-09914-0)
- Toledo, J., Rull, J., Oropeza, A., Hernández, E., and Liedo, P. 2004. Irradiation of Anastrepha obliqua (Diptera: Tephritidae) revisited: optimizing sterility induction. Journal of Economic Entomology, 97: 383–389. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/97.2.383>.
- Vreysen, M. 2005. Monitoring sterile and wild insects in area-wide integrated pest management programmes. In Sterile insect technique. Edited by V.A. Dyck, J. Hendrichs, and A.S. Robinson. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Pp. 325–361.
- Walsh, D.B., Bolda, M.P., Goodhue, R.E., Dreves, A.J., Lee, J., Bruck, D.J., et al. 2011. Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae): invasive pest of ripening soft fruit expanding its geographic range and damage potential. Journal of Integrated Pest Management, 2: G1–G7. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1603/IPM10010) [10.1603/IPM10010.](https://doi.org/10.1603/IPM10010)

Cite this article: Lanouette, G., Brodeur, J., Fournier, F., Martel, V., and Firlej, A. 2020. Effect of irradiation on the mating capacity and competitiveness of Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae) for the development of the sterile insect technique. The Canadian Entomologist. [https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2020.38.](https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2020.38)